App F. IX-4

Previous references

193 . **File No.**

( ) **Serial Nos.** 1 +

Later references

1-11, 30, (1-2)
IR 92-61 (1-4, 7-11)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 5

Par B for June 12

SUBJECT.

[illegible]

To: The Registrar (Miscellaneous)
Misc. 1164 of 1964 & 32 -
and the Complete is not

Muzrai

No. 1408 15/4.31

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 31st March 193 1

1318

Dear Sir,

I write to say that a question whether the temple of Sri Gopala Krishnaswami in Tulasithotam, Bangalore City which is in the enjoyment of the Koyamgutta village of -- Tharalu in the Kankanhalli Taluk is a Muzrai or a non-Muzrai institution. In Government Order No.1530-2/Muz.85-08-2 -- dated 18th December 1909 accepting the endowment of Mr. B.G. Kesava Iyengar it is stated that the temple is a non-Muzrai institution founded by the late Pay-master Venkatachar and endowed by himself and his descendants. I request that the file leading to the above order may be kindly sent for ---- reference.

Your sincerel ,

Yrarasuga Ram

To

V. Subrahmanya Sastry, Esq., B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

---

1) For orders the request may be Committee's Secretary.

G.S. 26.
6/4 H. Secy) 1) 7.4.31

2 12/4/31

G.S. 9/4/31

B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 1860- G1 B—1 50 000- 2-8-31

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Sri Gopalakrishna Swamy temple, at Thalaikotaam
Bangalore city

General and Revenue Secretaria — File No. — of 193 1-2 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Letter No C 1405/30-31 & 25/4/32
from the Muzrai Commissioner
forwarding copy of letter & 22-2-1931
from the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Urban recommending
that Sri Gopala Krishna Swami
temple in Thulasi Thotam Bangalore
city may be treated as a Muzrai
Institution and the Manager thereof
requires to maintain the jewels, Property
and other prescribed registers and
requesting for reasons set forth therein
instructions as to the Correct interpretation
to be put upon the words Inam
Granted, recognised and registered
in Sec. 2 (2. i) of the M. & C. I.
Regn of 1927.

6) Send for orders
Gr 27/4 Recd

7) The words "Inam granted & recognised & registered &c" seem to refer only to Cases of grant by Govt as no private person can grant an Inam. Any grant by a private person would be a gift & not an Inam. The Law Deptt may perhaps be consulted.

28/4/32

For Secy 8) Please put up the following papers.

1) Copy of 2nd Nov 81, 15th Aug 82, & 1st Sept 82, quoted in the cover.

2) A copy of the file dtd 42-43 available here

3) Govt Secys Circular No 9 of 19½ June (90)

4) Discussion in regarding the words working of Section (2) (i) of the Regn 28/4

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

No R10743
L.13 493-08-6
19-6-07.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

File No. of 193 General and Secretariat

As regards para 8 (1) requisition for the monthly Vol of Nov 1881 sent on 2/5/32 has been returned on 3/5/32 stating that the regd copy is not available. Monthly Abstracts July & Sept 1882 are found up (Flag A).

Re para 8(3), monthly Volume Contain'g Cr-Sec's Docket of 19-6-07 is found (Flag Y)

As regards 8(4), accounts are being made to find out the Collectors Contain'g Ds enquired for therein.

As regards 8.(2), Cf abstd a Cdg of the Gift deed will be placed for from the Inam Rbt.

Other Headquarters —

G.S.W. 3/5' This is necessary to find out and collect together the gift deed of 1842-43 pertaining to the grant of Rayanam and Kallar Thandalai Village Kumbakonam Tk to the Thulasithodam Sri Gopalakrishna Swami temple [vide para 9 of the P.A.D.] is available in Vernacular records - It is stated accordingly that the File may be sent to the V. Records for finding up the gift deed or the extract from the Regr if any —

G.S. 3/5' — G.C. Records with Compliments 6/5

It may be allowed to be attended to. Papers to be sent to Tr Sec 4/5

W.T. Neumeyer As the Fin. Secy says "If available then" 4/5/32

VR. 158 of 6.5.1932

Mar File No. of 193 .

Serial No.

Sd

Title-deeds are not available in the Vernacular Record A search was made for the Sannad of 1830 which was also not available These may perhaps be available in Surva Office Superintendent's office
12.5.32 Mazgaon Branch)

As papers in original are not forthcoming. 12/5
Monthly Volumes Containing Proceedings referred to in paras 8 (1) & (3) are produced. Copy of the Sixth deed called for in 8 (2) is not available here.

~~Accepted~~ The file containing Resolutions referred to in 8 (4) is perhaps the Legis 15-24-1 Volume as seen from letter No 1197 of 23.2.32 (Close linked File) which was sent to the Asstt. Collr. M.C. It has not been returned to this Office.

This may now be submitted to Govt. for orders in Council. Before —

This matter has been called for by Govt. during the absence of the Asstt. Collr. M.C. from the Collectorate for 10 days
12/5/32

Govt
12/5
15

Jr Secy)

13/5/32

I am inclined to agree with the opinion in the view expressed in para 7

Sd 16/5/32 16/5

Legal opinion may be obtained as to whether this resolution comes under the Regn of 1927

12/5/32

GEFI
EIFS
18 MAY 1932
Mazgaon

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

550 & 931
P 1250

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

To the Law Section with Compliments

Recd
25/5/32

321
Law

Two conditions must be satisfied before a temple can be a Muz institution under Rec 2 C(2) of Reg VII of 1927. They are

i) For the support of the temple an inam should have been granted

& ii) that inam should have been recognised & registered as a devadaya or dharmadaya grant.

which is not in the sole cha of Govt and never no grant prior revenues.

An inam is a grant by Govt for the personal benefit of an individual or individuals, or for religious charitable or other purposes or for service rendered to the State or to a village community. According to the Land Revenue Code, the term "inam" or "alienation of land" means the assignment in favour of persons above mentioned of the right of Govt to levy land revenue.

In this case, the Kayampatta village is no doubt an inam granted by Govt but it was not so granted for the support of the temple. It was an inam granted to a private person and he having the right of alienation gifted it away to the temple. This gift is not an inam within the meaning of Reg VII of 1927.

It therefore follows that though the second condition is satisfied in this case, the temple

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

cannot be considered to be a Mus. institution, as it has no inam granted for its support. The temple is, however, a religious institution and comes within the scope of Chapter III of Reg VII of 1927. The persons in charge of the temple are therefore bound to keep proper accounts and to submit them for inspection of Mus officers under the rules framed in pursuance of sec 22 of the Regulation.

Fin Secy (Mus) 4/6/32

There can be no manning doubt or dispute on this point, as there is a charitable endowment also and Fin Dept already funds to it.

1st member 4/6/32

I do not think this can be treated as a Muzrai institution. The managers of the institution have however to keep proper accts and make them available for inspection by Court officers as required by C.O. dy 15-12-1909. The M.C may be informed accordingly.

Mus 6/6/32

8/6/32

GS 8/6

Dft rd

Secy 9/6/32

JUDL 1932 1985

4782 11

TYPING SECTION 9. JUN. 1932 NOTE—Contn. Sheet GEN'L & REV SEC'T.

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8 7

Mz File No.

No 1864 of 14. 6. 32 to the
Municipal Commissioner, Tiruchi
among other matters that the
Taluk Board to which
Camp may be treated as a
Municipal Institution

B
15/6

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mz

File No.

Serial No.

No. 2266/Mz 1

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(

the *193*

To J. Macaulay Esq I.A.S.
A.S.h. M.W.C.

Date of issue 15.4.31

Signed by...V.S. Sambandam...

Sir

I am desired for reference & enclosure to send herewith the Scott Collection Containing G.O No 1530-2/Mz 8.5.08-2 of 1.8.12.G about endowment to the temple of Sri Rasanna Kishna Swami in Tadaikkalam, Nannilam Taluk as requested in your D.O letter No 1408/30-31 dt 1.4.1931

Yours faithful

Lover

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25 6-30

Mrs Lee pleased to see
file on receipt - [illegible]
There are now [illegible]
necessary for installing
Surface Log [illegible] MAC.

[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER.

Bangalore, 17th Feb 1932.

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Major V. Venkata Rao, M.A.,
B. Sc., I.A.S., Retd., M. C.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Finance Department.

Muz 326-31-1.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit herewith copy of letter No.S.S-2051 of 29-30 dated 22nd February 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, recommending that for reasons stated the Trust of Sri Gopalakrishnaswami in Balaji Chetty, Bangalore City, may be treated as a Muzrai Institution and the Manager thereof required to maintain the Jewel, Property and other prescribed registers and to state as follows:-

2. From the recitals in the Inam Settlement Register it will be seen that about the year 1842-43 the Kaya gutta village of Thorel in the Kankanhalli Taluk was conveyed and given by one Timmappa Urs to the temple in question which was a private institution under the management of one Mr. D.N. Tarudachar. [illegible] request of the late Mr. D. Krishna Iyengar, grandfather of Krishna Iyengar who wanted to purchase the village for Rs.10,000/- in the name of his son the late Mr. D.K. Venkatavaradi Iyengar, Government permitted in their order No.252a-6/34 dated 29th June 1932, transfer of the village to the name of Mr. Venkatavaradi Iyengar as a personal inam, provided that Rs.10,000/- was first invested in Government Securities and assigned for the benefit of the temple. This condition was however, not fulfilled and the village therefore continued to be in the enjoyment of the temple. But the Inam Commissioner in satisfying itself whether the purchase money had been paid or not transferred the village to the name of Mr. Venkatavaradi Iyengar as personal grant but no title-deed was issued. At the request of

Krishna Iyengar the village was retransferred to the temple in accordance with instructions conveyed in General and Revenue Secretariat Docket No.R.10742/L.R.490-06-6 dated 19th June 1907 to the Superintendent Mysore Revenue Survey and stands classed as Devadaya in the Inam Register

3. While accepting an endowment of Rs.6000/- under the Religious and Charitable Endowment scheme offered by the late Mr. B.G. Kesava Iyengar, the previous Manager, for the benefit of the temple, Government orbserved in their order No.1530-32/Luz.385-08-2 dated 18th December 1909 that the temple of Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami in Tulasi Thotam is a non-Luzrai ---- institution founded by the late Dasa Vester Venkatachar and endowed by -- himself and his descendants.

4. In his letter No.C3-29 31/29-30 dated 22-2-31, the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore recommended that as the institution is in the enjoyment of the above Inam village, it may be treated as a Luzrai Institutions with all the benefits of such an institution such as maintenance of account etc.,

5. The point for consideration is whether an institution in enjoyment of a privately endowed inam village can be classed as a Luzrai institution It is understood from the Inam Superintendent that the term Devadaya -- is commonly applied in Inam Settlement to mean enjoyment and ownership by a Mutt, a temple or a mosque and does not connote a grant from Government The definition of Luzrai Institution in the Religious and Charitable -- institutions Regulation, 1927, includes an institution for which an inam is granted and is recognised and registered as a devadaya grant, the -- precise construction to be put on these words is not free from difficulty. Inam granted would imply a grant from Government and not a gift by a --- private person. If registration of the inam as devadaya in the Inam --- registers of the Inam Settlement is sufficient, this institution would be Luzrai institution. But this would lead to the anamalous result that every private institution would become a Luzrai Institution because every endowment to a temple etc., is entered in the Inam Registers as Devadaya. I therefore, requesst instructions of Government as to the correct --- interpretation to be put upon the words inam granted recognized and registered" in Sec.2(9 i) of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation of 1927.

The connected file of vernacular papers together with Secretaria

No.85 of 1908 is sent for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner,

Letter No.C.3-2931/29-30 Jated 22nd Febr ary 1931 fro the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

In submitting herewith the records ending with the Bangalore Sub Division Officer's C.C.2-253/26-27 dated 13th November 1930 in the matter of the treatment of Sri Gopala Krishnaswamy temple in -- Thulasithotam Bangalore City as a Huzrai Institution, I have the honor to state that the temple is under the management of Mr. B.V. Garudachar as its sole Dharmadarsi. The institution was considered as a private one as it is not in the enjoyment of any cash grant of Government Inam lands for its benefit from Government.

From a perusal of the records it is seen that a Mayamsutta village called Tharalu in the Kankanhalli Taluk which was a ------ personal ~~xxxxxxx~~ Inam granted to the late Mr. B.N.Venkatavarada Iyengar has by his son Mr. B. V. Krishna Iyengar, been endowed to the temple in 1907 and its ~~xx~~ tenure has accordingly been changed into 'Devadaya."

Both the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer recommend that since the Institution is in the enjoyment of the above Inam village, it may be treated as a Huzrai Institution and the maintenance of the jewel, property and other registers required to be maintained in -- Huzrai Institutions insisted upon in this Institution also.

Agreeing with the Sub Division Officer, I recommend that the temple may be treated as a Huzrai Institution.

"Copy"

For Huzrai Commissioner.

F G—1]

CT .....

....

....

Section Mun

File No. 324

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ...1250.....

No. 1864/Mg/Encl 16Le.

To The M.C

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Subject:- Sri Gokalakrishna-Swamis temple in Tulasi Thotam Bangalore city

Date of issue .....14.6.32.....

Signed by .....

Sir

With reference to your letter No. C 1408/30 31 & 2574/32 on the above subject, I am directed to state that the said temple can not be treated as a Mutt. Section The Managers of the Institution however to keep proper accounts and to allow them available for inspection by Govt. Officers

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31

Requires ui G.O No 1530-32/

Mucz 85-08-2 of 18/12/1909.-
The file of papers received with your letter under
acknowledgement is returned herewith.

Yours etc

9/6/32

for Secretary.

G.S file
8/C
9

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

36-1897-98

1914.

(Miscl.)

File No. 482

Serial Nos. 142

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 2

Part B for April 1915

SUBJECT.

Appointment of Dharmadarsis to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Chikkena halli in the Chennapatna Taluk.

---

2) Approving the — D.C. Bangalore

2420-1 dt) 28 4. 15

GPB—3,500—21-7-1½

Krishnamurthy

VII